Who are the most appropriate hypertension patients for BAROSTIM THERAPY?

Hannes Reuter Cologne University Hypertension Center Ev. Krankenhaus Köln-Weyertal Germany

BAROSTIM THERAPY SUMMIT

September 30th, 2017 • Radisson Blu, Berlin, Germany





- Who is the suitable patient?
- Exclusion of pseudoresistance and secondary causes
- Special conditions





- Who is the suitable patient?
- Exclusion of pseudoresistance and secondary causes
- Special conditions



Who is the suitable patient?

According to the current ESC/ESH guidelines interventional treatment should be considered

in patients with truly resistant hypertension:

- Office cuff blood pressure >160/110 mmHg
- after lifestyle modification and
- under at least 3 antihypertensive drugs (incl. diuretics)

Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists, amiloride, and the alpha-1-blocker doxazosin should be considered, if no contraindication exists.

Mancia G et al, Eur Heart J. 2013;34(28):2159-219

Who is the suitable patient?

According to the current ESC/ESH guidelines interventional treatment should be considered

in patients with truly resistant hypertension:

✓ Exclusion of pseudoresistance and/or secondary causes.

It is recommended that the invasive approaches are considered only for truly resistant hypertensive patients, with clinic values ≥160 mmHg SBP or ≥110 mmHg DBP and with <u>BP elevation confirmed by ambulatory BP monitoring</u>.



Mancia G et al, Eur Heart J. 2013;34(28):2159-219



- Who is the suitable patient?
- Exclusion of pseudoresistance and secondary causes
- Special conditions



High prevalence of non-adherence in hypertensive patients

4783 hypertensive patients from 21 phase IV trials with MEMS-based supervision



Therapeutic drug monitoring

Simultaneous determination of Metoprolol, Amlodipine, Canrenone and HCT via liquid chromatography – mass spectrometry in serum



Secondary hypertension **CRITERIA FOR SCREENING**

- Poor response to therapy
- Stage 3 hypertension (systolic blood pressure >180 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure >110 mmHg)
- Worsening of control in previously stable hypertensive patient
- Onset of hypertension in persons younger than age 20 or older than age 50
- Significant hypertensive target organ damage
- Lack of family history of hypertension
- Findings on history, physical examination, or laboratory testing that suggest a secondary cause



- Who is the suitable patient?
- Exclusion of pseudoresistance and secondary causes
- Special conditions
 - prior renal denervation
 - isolated systolic hypertension
 - patients with end organ damage



Therapy resistant hypertension after RDN



Patient Characteristics:

- 52 years old female patient
- Arterial hypertension, stage 3 (Dx 1990)
- History of renal denervation 2013
- Secondary causes repeatedly excluded
- Home BP (avg.): 190/110 mmHg

Medication at first presentation:

- Valsartan 320 mg/d
- Carvedilol 50 mg/d
- Amlodipine 10 mg/d
- HCT 25 mg/d

BAT Implantation COURSE OF BP AND HR OVER 24 MONTHS



Baroreflex activation therapy in patients with prior renal denervation

Inclusion:

- 28 patients
- Uncontrolled resistant hypertension (182 ± 28 mmHg)
- Prior renal denervation (> 5 months before BAT implant)

TABLE 1. Patients' characteristics at baseline n n Sex Male n (%) 28 14 (50%) Female n (%) 28 14 (50%) Period between prior RD and BAT (months) 22 9.5(5-25)Age (years) 28 57 ± 12 BMI (ka/m^2) 32 ± 6 28 93 ± 20 Weight (kg) 28 Number of antihypertensive patients 6.2 ± 1.5 28 eGFR (MDRD) (ml/min) 26 78 + 29Relevant concomitant diseases Congestive heart failure 28 3 (11%) Coronary heart disease 28 4 (14%) Diabetes mellitus 28 12 (43%) History of smoking 19 11 (58%) Chronic kidney disease \geq CKD stage 1 28 22 (79%)

Values are mean \pm SD, *n* (%), or median (range). CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MDRD, modification of diet in renal disease.

Wallbach M, J Hypertens 2016; 34

Baroreflex activation therapy in patients with prior renal denervation

CHANGE IN OFFICE BP



	Responder at M6	Responder at M12
Office SBP \geq 10 mmHg	19/28 (68%)	20/26 (77%)

CHANGE IN AMBULATORY BP



Wallbach M, J Hypertens 2016; 34

BAROSTIM THERAPY SUMMIT • September 30th, 2017 • Radisson Blu, Berlin, Germany

Isolated systolic hypertension is a negative predictor for response

6 year long-term follow up of 383 patients from the 3 controlled BAT trials that focused on treatment-resistant hypertensive patients Male Female Female Age <50 years Age <50 years Age 50-60 years Age 50-60 years p<0.01 Age> 60 years Age> 60 years Caucasian Caucasian Afro-American Afro-American Other Other Smoker:No Smoker:No Smoker:Yes Smoker:Yes Diabetes: No Diabetes: No Diabetes: Yes Diabetes: Yes Stroke:No Stroke:No Stroke:Yes Stroke:Yes CAD: No CAD: No CAD: Yes CAD: Yes CHF: No CHF: Yes CHF: No CHF p<0.03 p<0.05 CHF: Yes ISH: No SH ISH: No ISH: Yes p<0.01 p<0.001 ISH: Yes -10 10 -50 -60 -50 0 20 -60 40 -20 -10 0 Changes in SBP (mmHg) Changes in DBP (mmHg)

CHF: Chronic heart failure ISH: Isolated systolic hypertension

de Leeuw et al, Hypertension 2017; 69: 836-843.

Potential effects of BAT on end organ damage

- [1] BAT may improve left atrial and ventricular structure and function. BAT may reduce left ventricular mass.
- [2] Potential nephroprotective effects of BAT in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) by stabilization of estimated GFR and mild reduction of proteinuria.
- [3] Limited acute effect of BAT on muscle glucose metabolism (insulin sensitivity, glucose- or insulin-concentration).
- [4] No effect of BAT on oral glucose tolerance, fasting insulin levels, C-peptide levels, hemoglobin A1c, HOMA-IR, HOMA-β.
- [5] BAT reduces central blood pressure, augmentation index and pulse wave velocity, suggesting a strong potential to reduce cardiovascular risk.

HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment – insulin resistance HOMA-β: Homeostasis model assessment – beta-cell function Bisognano et al. JACC 2011;57:1787-91

- [2] Walbach M et al. Am J Nephrol 2014;40:371-80
- [3] May M et al. Diabetes 2014;63:2833-37
- [4] Walbach M et al. Acta diabetol 2015;52:829-35
- [5] Walbach M et al. J Hypertens 2015;33:181-86

BAROSTIM THERAPY SUMMIT • September 30th, 2017 • Radisson Blu, Berlin, Germany

Conclusions

- Efforts should be made to select patients with truly resistant hypertension for interventional treatment, including:
 - optimization of medical therapy
 - exclusion of pseudoresistance and
 - screening for secondary causes
- BAT is effective in patients with resistant hypertension and prior renal denervation.
- BAT has a stronger effect in patients with chronic heart failure.
- BAT is less effective in patients with isolated systolic hypertension.
- Potential nephroprotective effects of BAT in patients with chronic kidney disease.

Thank you.

